## 

1	BARRY J. PORTMAN
2	Federal Public Defender VARELL L. FULLER
3	Assistant Federal Public Defender 160 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 575
4	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 291-7753
5	Counsel for Defendant MARY SANTOS MORALES
6	Counsel for Defendant WHIT SHIVE OF MORNIEES
7	
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 09-01151-JF
11	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ) ORDER CONTINUING HEARING DATE
12	vs. ) AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
13	MARY SANTOS MORALES,
14	Defendants. )
15	)
16	<b>STIPULATION</b>
17	Defendant Mary Santos Morales, by and through Assistant Federal Public Defender
18	Varell L. Fuller, and the United States, by and through Assistant United States Attorney Jeffrey
19	B. Schenk, hereby stipulate that, with the Court's approval, that the status hearing currently set
20	for Thursday, June 24, 2010 at 9:00 a.m., shall be continued to Thursday, July 29, 2010, 2010 at
21	9:00 a.m.
22	The reason for the continuance is the defense has submitted a written proposal to
23	government counsel requesting an informal settlement conference to resolve issues with respect
24	to the terms of a defense proposed resolution.
25	The parties agree that the time between June 24, 2010 and July 29, 2010 is excludable
26	under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), for effective preparation by
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Continuing Hearing 1

## 

1	defense counsel.
2	Dated: June 21, 2010
3	/s/ VARELL L. FULLER Assistant Federal Public Defender
5	Dated: June 21, 2010/s/ JEFFREY B. SCHENK
7 8	Assistant United States Attorney
9	[PROPOSED] ORDER
	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, upon stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY
10 11	ORDERED that the hearing currently set for Thursday, June 24, 2010, shall be continued to
12	Thursday, July 29, 2010, at 9:00 a.m.
13	THE COURT FINDS that failing to exclude the time between June 24, 2010, and July
14	29, 2010, would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective
15	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. §
16	3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
17	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the ends of justice served by excluding the time
18	between June 24, 2010, and July 29, 2010, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act
	outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between June 24, 2010, and
21	July 29, 2010, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §
22	3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).
	IT IS SO ORDERED.
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	Dated: 6/22/10 THE HONOR ABLE JEREMY FOGEL
25	United States District Court Judge
26	
20	